

Goal achievement Matrix

	Criteria	-1	0	+1
Sustainable Economic Development	Accessibility of Existing centres of Employment	In excess of x metres or there is no employment in the settlement (x = distance from centre of existing town to furthest point)	Between ½ x and x metres (x = distance from centre of existing town to furthest point)	½ x metres or less (x = distance from centre of existing town to furthest point)
	Provision of Business Land	Development of the site would generate no opportunities for new employment	Development of the site could help to generate some opportunities for new employment	Development of the site could help to generate significant opportunities for new employment
	Distance to Key Service Centres	In excess of x metres (x = distance from centre of existing town to furthest point)	Between 1/2x and x metres (x = distance from centre of existing town to furthest point)	½ x metres or less (x = distance from centre of existing town to furthest point)
	pedestrian/cycle links to key services, employment land and surrounding developments	Pedestrian and cycle links to key services, employment land uses and access to surrounding developments would be difficult to provide	Opportunities exist to provide pedestrian and cycle links to key services, employment land uses and access to surrounding developments can be provided	Good existing pedestrian and cycle links to key services employment land and existing developments or could be provided or improved through the detailed design of the site
Encourage and Support Regeneration	Brownfield Development	Makes no use of brownfield land or existing buildings	Makes use of either brownfield land or existing buildings	The development makes extensive use of brownfield land and/ or existing buildings
	Enhancement of Heritage	Built and/or cultural heritage features will be destroyed or detracted from	There would be no detrimental impact on the built and/or cultural heritage	Potential for built and/or cultural heritage to be rebuilt, repaired or otherwise enhanced
	Supporting quality of Services including primary schools	There would be a negative impact on quality of existing services	There would be no impact on quality of existing services	The development would help to sustain existing services and improve the quality of existing services
	Resolves Issues of Contamination on Site	No remediation of contaminated land	No contaminated land available [land not known to be contaminated]	Remediation of a contaminated site
Maintain and Enhance the Quality of the Natural Heritage	Quality of Agricultural Land Lost	Prime agricultural land (class 1 to 3.1)	Class 3.2 land	Class 4 or above
	Degree of loss of	There would be a negative impact	There would be no impact on	Development would enhance the

and Built Environment	Biodiversity	on biodiversity on site (use biodiversity value from open space audit)	biodiversity of any level of importance: local, national and international (use biodiversity value from open space audit)	biodiversity on the site (use biodiversity value from open space audit)
	Setting of Historic Buildings Affected (SAM's)	The setting of Historic Building(s) are at risk from compromise.	No impact to Historic Building(s)	Historic Building(s) will be enhanced
	Archaeology Affected	Archaeology of national importance on site	Archaeology of regional importance on site	No archaeology on site
Landscape	Shelter from cold winds	The site is exposed	The site is sheltered by either a tree plantation or by the form of the land	The site sheltered by the topography of the land and by a tree plantation
	Potential for Passive Solar Gain	A north or north-west facing slope, or overshadowed site	East, south- east, west facing slope or flat site	South or south west facing gradual slope
	Visual Considerations	Negative impact on the landscape	The site would fit in with the landscape	There would be a positive impact on the landscape from the development
	Designed Landscapes Affected	Designed landscapes are destroyed	Some visual impact on Designed landscapes	Development would have no impact on designed landscapes
Technical Issues	Availability of Water Supply	Upgrade required	Some costs to providing water	Availability of mains water supply
	Ease of Providing Drainage	Constraints, high cost	Few constraints.	No constraints, low cost
	Costs of Providing Access	A new grade-separated junction and/or a new bridge, or other major infrastructure	Recontouring the land, road widening (of an existing road), and/or a new pedestrian bridge	No work required
	Provision of New Community Services Required	All new build. For example a school, water distribution upgrade, and a local service centre (retail)	Some work required (e.g. one or two new services)	All community facilities have capacity.No work required (except water connection and drainage)

SITE NUMBER:

NAME:

Assessment undertaken by:

Proposer:

Nature of the proposal:

Recommendation: Preferred \ Possible \ Undesireable (Please delete)

Reasoned justification:¹

SITE NUMBER:

NAME:

	Criteria	Score	Justification
Capacity study site?			Which study:
Sustainable Economic Development	Accessibility of Existing centres of Employment		
	Provision of Business Land		
	Distance to Key Service Centres		
	pedestrian/cycle links to key services, employment land and surrounding developments		
Encourage and Support Regeneration	Brownfield Development		
	Enhancement of Heritage		
	Supporting quality of Services including primary schools		
	Resolves Issues of Contamination on Site		
Maintain and Enhance the Quality of the Natural Heritage and Built Environment	Quality of Agricultural Land Lost		
	Degree of loss of Biodiversity		
	Setting of Historic Buildings Affected (SAM's)		
	Archaeology Affected		
Landscape	Shelter from cold winds		
	Potential for Passive Solar Gain		
	Visual Considerations		
	Designed Landscapes Affected		
Technical Issues	Availability of Water Supply		
	Ease of Providing Drainage		
	Costs of Providing Access		
	Provision of New Community Services Required		

SITE NUMBER:

NAME:

Constraints mapping

Constraint	Ok	Relative Constraint	Not Ok	Notes
Hazardous site				
Transport safeguarded site				
Pipeline constraint				
SEPA Fluvial Flood risk (0.5%)				
SEPA coastal Flooding (0.5%)				
SAC				
SPA				
Ramsar site				
SSSI				
Local Nature Reserve				
SESA SINS				
Woods for people				
Ancient Woodland				
“Protected” open space				
Other Constraints				

Other constraints include potential impact on critical environmental or social capital of the settlement identified through the characterisation process

SITE NUMBER:

NAME:

¹ Reasoned Justification

Why should this site be considered or dismissed in the Local Development Plan? Please ensure you cover issues relating to the preferred settlement strategy as part of this justification, particularly where you are making a "Preferred" recommendation. Please also embed (copy and paste from GGP) any suggestion you might wish to make regarding allocating only part of the site, or on phasing.

Please see the spreadsheet "Target housing and employment allocations.xls" for guidance on the indicative scale of allocations to be sought in each area (Use the TRIM search facility to find this). For each of the 8 areas a report on settlement strategy options will have to be prepared that outlines the principles and options that have been considered. I believe that this is an iterative process which starts with the "targets" as modified first by availability of sites and our technical evaluation, and thereafter by the views of members as to what they wish to achieve. I have started that report.

Please maintain a record of your progress in "Spreadsheet to record progress on completing bid assessments Jan 2009.xls".

Modification of the reasoned justification will be undertaken to reflect the desired settlement strategy following the Member seminars in March 2009 and for the final draft of the main issues report in May, when the sheets will form part of the evidence base for the main issues "proposals" maps (published separately as a technical appendix.