## **Aberdeenshire Council**

## **Integrated Impact Assessment**

# Savings Proposal 2025/26 - Building Standards Staffing

Assessment ID	IIA-002560	
Lead Author	Hannah Russell	
Additional Authors	Lorna Allan, Jeremy Mitchell	
Service Reviewers	Hannah Russell, Christine Robertson	
Subject Matter Experts	Suzanne Rhind, Kakuen Mo, Jane Wilkinson, Ricki Lyon, Jade Fitzpatrick	
Approved By	Ally Macleod	
Approved On	Thursday January 16, 2025	
Publication Date	Thursday January 16, 2025	

#### 1. Overview

This document has been generated from information entered into the Integrated Impact Assessment system.

As part of saving proposals, Building Standards have submitted a recurring staffing saving of £100,000 to assist with pressures elsewhere in the budget. This IIA considers the impact of these savings in terms of vacancy management.

During screening 2 of 11 questions indicated that detailed assessments were required, the screening questions and their answers are listed in the next section. This led to 2 out of 5 detailed impact assessments being completed. The assessments required are:

- Equalities and Fairer Scotland Duty
- Town Centres First

In total there are 0 positive impacts as part of this activity. There are 4 negative impacts, all impacts have been mitigated.

A detailed action plan with 3 points has been provided.

This assessment has been approved by ally.macleod2@aberdeenshire.gov.uk.

The remainder of this document sets out the details of all completed impact assessments.

# 2. Screening

Could your activity / proposal / policy cause an impact in one (or more) of the identified town centres?	Yes
Would this activity / proposal / policy have consequences for the health and wellbeing of the population in the affected communities?	No
Does the activity / proposal / policy have the potential to affect greenhouse gas emissions (CO2e) in the Council or community and / or the procurement, use or disposal of physical resources?	No
Does the activity / proposal / policy have the potential to affect the resilience to extreme weather events and/or a changing climate of Aberdeenshire Council or community?	No
Does the activity / proposal / policy have the potential to affect the environment, wildlife or biodiversity?	No
Does the activity / proposal / policy have an impact on people and / or groups with protected characteristics?	No
Is this activity / proposal / policy of strategic importance for the council?	No
Does this activity / proposal / policy impact on inequality of outcome?	No
Does this activity / proposal / policy have an impact on children / young people's rights?	No
Does this activity / proposal / policy have an impact on children / young people's wellbeing?	No
Does the activity / proposal / policy relate to budget proposals?	Yes

## 3. Impact Assessments

o. Impact Assessments	
Children's Rights and Wellbeing	Not Required
Climate Change and Sustainability	Not Required
Equalities and Fairer Scotland Duty	No Negative Impacts Identified
Health Inequalities	Not Required
Town Centre's First	Only Some Negative Impacts Can Be Mitigated

# 4. Equalities and Fairer Scotland Duty Impact Assessment

#### 4.1. Protected Groups

Indicator	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Unknown
Age (Younger)		Yes		
Age (Older)		Yes		
Disability		Yes		
Race		Yes		
Religion or Belief		Yes		
Sex		Yes		
Pregnancy and Maternity		Yes		
Sexual Orientation		Yes		
Gender Reassignment		Yes		
Marriage or Civil Partnership		Yes		

#### 4.2. Socio-economic Groups

Indicator	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Unknown
Low income		Yes		
Low wealth		Yes		
Material deprivation		Yes		
Area deprivation		Yes		
Socioeconomic background		Yes		

#### 4.3. Evidence

Туре	Source	It says?	It Means?
Internal Data	Finance Data	pressure due to pay increases and reduction of	In order to maintain balanced budget position, proposed saving is required to staffing line.

#### 4.4. Engagement with affected groups

At the time of writing, staff had not been consulted on these proposals.

### 4.5. Ensuring engagement with protected groups

N/A

#### 4.6. Evidence of engagement

N/A

#### 4.7. Overall Outcome

No Negative Impacts Identified.

No protected characteristic groups are impacted by this saving proposal.

### 4.8. Improving Relations

Monitor staff morale and address areas of concern effectively.

## 4.9. Opportunities of Equality

N/A

# 5. Town Centre's First Impact Assessment

### 5.1. Local Factors

Indicator	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Unknown
Town centre assets			Yes	
Footfall		Yes		
Changes to road layouts		Yes		
Parking		Yes		
Infrastructure changes			Yes	
Aesthetics of the town centre			Yes	
Tourism		Yes		
Public safety			Yes	
Town centre business		Yes		
Cultural heritage and identity		Yes		
Social and cultural aspects		Yes		

## 5.2. Negative Impacts and Mitigations

Impact Area	Details and Mitigation
-------------	------------------------

Impact Area	Details and Mitiga	ation
Aesthetics of the town centre	The Building Standards team have a legal obligation to deal wit any privately owned building which has been deemed dangerou. This initially involves trying to locate the owner of the building and requesting that they undertake required works to make saffailing appropriate action, the service may be required to undertake works and recharge the owner. Each case needs to be inspected to determine if it meets the definition of a dangerous building, investigations are required to locate owners, liaise, deawith legal notices and organising/arranging works and recharges. In addition to dangerous buildings, the team are responsible for dealing with unauthorised works and complaint In 2024/25, the service has seen an increase in the number of reports of Dangerous Buildings and related work. A reduction in workforce could mean that there are delays in dealing with thes buildings and carrying our investigations leading to an increase in complaints from elected members and members of the publi. This may also impact on daily tasks such as the processing and approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respond to complaints could result in Health & Safety concerns where work have been undertaken and do not comply with building regulations. Both unauthorised works and dangerous buildings can be matters of public safety.  The Scottish Government are promoting Building Standards teams to increase numbers of inspections carried out on works undertaken to ensure compliance. A reduced workforce will mean that these additional inspections may be unable to be completed leading to reduced compliance in Aberdeenshire.  Can be Yes mitigated  Mitigation  This could be partially mitigated by utilising capacity across the team as a whole, however only while the workload is manageable for remaining staff. The impact of this would mean that other tasks (i.e. Building Warrants etc) performance would be impacted. Due to the large geographical area covered by the Building Standards team, a reduction in workforce in an area may also im	

Impact Area	Details and Mitiga	ation
Infrastructure changes	The Building Standards team have a legal obligation to deal with any privately owned building which has been deemed dangerous This initially involves trying to locate the owner of the building and requesting that they undertake required works to make safe Failing appropriate action, the service may be required to undertake works and recharge the owner. Each case needs to b inspected to determine if it meets the definition of a dangerous building, investigations are required to locate owners, liaise, dea with legal notices and organising/arranging works and recharges. In addition to dangerous buildings, the team are responsible for dealing with unauthorised works and complaint. In 2024/25, the service has seen an increase in the number of reports of Dangerous Buildings and related work. A reduction in workforce could mean that there are delays in dealing with thes buildings and carrying our investigations leading to an increase in complaints from elected members and members of the publi. This may also impact on daily tasks such as the processing and approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respond to complaints could result in Health & Safety concerns where work have been undertaken and do not comply with building regulations. Both unauthorised works and dangerous buildings can be matters of public safety.  The Scottish Government are promoting Building Standards teams to increase numbers of inspections carried out on works undertaken to ensure compliance. A reduced workforce will mean that these additional inspections may be unable to be completed leading to reduced compliance in Aberdeenshire.  Can be Yes mitigated  Mitigation This could be partially mitigated by utilising capacity across the team as a whole, howeve only while the workload is manageable for remaining staff. The impact of this would mean that other tasks (i.e. Building Warrants etc) performance would be impacted. Due to the large geographical area covered by the Building Standards team, a reduction in workforce in an area may also	

Impact Area	Details and Mi	tigation
Public safety	The Building Standards team have a legal obligation to dea any privately owned building which has been deemed dang. This initially involves trying to locate the owner of the build and requesting that they undertake required works to mak Failing appropriate action, the service may be required to undertake works and recharge the owner. Each case need inspected to determine if it meets the definition of a dange building, investigations are required to locate owners, liais with legal notices and organising/arranging works and recharges. In addition to dangerous buildings, the team are responsible for dealing with unauthorised works and complin 2024/25, the service has seen an increase in the number reports of Dangerous Buildings and related work. A reduct workforce could mean that there are delays in dealing with buildings and carrying our investigations leading to an increase in complaints from elected members and members of the This may also impact on daily tasks such as the processin approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respondent to the processing approval of building warrant applications are required to be processing approval of building warrant applications. Failure to determine	
	Mitigation	This could be partially mitigated by utilising capacity across the team as a whole, however only while the workload is manageable for remaining staff. The impact of this would mean that other tasks (i.e. Building Warrants etc) performance would be impacted. Due to the large geographical area covered by the Building Standards team, a reduction in workforce in an area may also impact on the ability to carry our inspections, particularly those of an urgent nature. The requirement for staff to travel long distances to carry out this work will increase travel expenses. Time to travel will also need to be considered as this takes up valuable resources. Performance could be further impacted during periods of sickness and leave. Tasks carried out by the team will need to be monitored, with non essential works ceasing should capacity become an issue.  Ongoing

Impact Area	Details and Mitiga	ation
Town centre assets	The Building Standards team have a legal obligation to deal wany privately owned building which has been deemed dangerd. This initially involves trying to locate the owner of the building and requesting that they undertake required works to make sate Failing appropriate action, the service may be required to undertake works and recharge the owner. Each case needs to inspected to determine if it meets the definition of a dangerou building, investigations are required to locate owners, liaise, dwith legal notices and organising/arranging works and recharges. In addition to dangerous buildings, the team are responsible for dealing with unauthorised works and complain 12024/25, the service has seen an increase in the number of reports of Dangerous Buildings and related work. A reduction workforce could mean that there are delays in dealing with the buildings and carrying our investigations leading to an increase in complaints from elected members and members of the pull This may also impact on daily tasks such as the processing a approval of building warrant applications. Failure to respond to complaints could result in Health & Safety concerns where we have been undertaken and do not comply with building regulations. Both unauthorised works and dangerous building can be matters of public safety.  The Scottish Government are promoting Building Standards teams to increase numbers of inspections carried out on worlundertaken to ensure compliance. A reduced workforce will mean that these additional inspections may be unable to be completed leading to reduced compliance in Aberdeenshire.  Can be Yes mitigated	
	Mitigation	This could be partially mitigated by utilising capacity across the team as a whole, however only while the workload is manageable for remaining staff. The impact of this would mean that other tasks (i.e. Building Warrants etc) performance would be impacted. Due to the large geographical area covered by the Building Standards team, a reduction in workforce in an area may also impact on the ability to carry our inspections, particularly those of an urgent nature. The requirement for staff to travel long distances to carry out this work will increase travel expenses. Time to travel will also need to be considered as this takes up valuable resources. Performance could be further impacted during periods of sickness and leave. Tasks carried out by the team will need to be monitored, with non essential works ceasing should capacity become an issue.  Ongoing

#### 5.3. Evidence

Туре	Source	It says?	It Means?
Internal Data	Dangerous Building Reports	Increased number of reports of dangerous buildings in Aberdeenshire.	Shows number of reports are increasing adding to the workload of the team. Due to timescales in dealing with these buildings, there have also been an increase in complaints in regards to the process.

#### 5.4. Overall Outcome

Only Some Negative Impacts Can Be Mitigated.

This could be partially mitigated by utilising capacity across the team as a whole, however only while the workload is manageable for remaining staff. The impact of this would mean that other tasks (i.e. Building Warrants etc) performance would be impacted. Due to the large geographical area covered by the Building Standards team, a reduction in workforce in an area may also impact on the ability to carry our inspections, particularly those of an urgent nature. The requirement for staff to travel long distances to carry out this work will increase travel expenses. Time to travel will also need to be considered as this takes up valuable resources. Performance could be further impacted during periods of sickness and leave. Tasks carried out by the team will need to be monitored, with non essential works ceasing should capacity become an issue.

Performance of the service is currently very high. A reduction in service delivery could still provide a satisfactory service.

## 6. Action Plan

Planned Action	Details	
Review workload and areas	Lead Officer	Hannah Russell
covered by team to provide sufficient cover.	Repeating Activity	Yes
Sufficient cover.	Frequency	Ongoing in line with demands on the service
	Duration	Ongoing in line with demands on the service
	Expected Outcome	Ensure fair and equitable split of workload across the team.
	Resource Implications	Within existing resources.
Monitor staff welfare and moral	Lead Officer	Hannah Russell
	Repeating Activity	Yes
	Frequency	Quarterly
	Duration	1 week
	Expected Outcome	Address any concerns or issues raised by staff. Ensure sufficient cover is in place and agreed prioritisation of work where required.
	Resource Implications	Within existing resources.
Manage performance	Lead Officer	Hannah Russell
	Repeating Activity	Yes
	Frequency	Ongoing
	Duration	Ongoing
	Expected Outcome	Manage expectations in terms of ongoing performance management.
	Resource Implications	Slower or reduced performance may increase numbers of complaints and enquiries resulting in an increased workload. This will need to be managed carefully to ensure staff time is being utilised on the priority tasks rather than dealing with complaints.